



Open Access & Data Management in Horizon 2020 18 & 19 October 2018



What makes a good Data Management Plan (DMP)? Common themes to address in DMPs and some sample texts



















Definition of Open Data

Open Data are online, free of cost, accessible data that can be used, reused and distributed provided that the data source is attributed.





Looking after your data

Explain how you will manage your data, noting particular concerns or issues (e.g. storage and backup, data structuring, versioning, documentation)



Explain which data will be shared and how (e.g. via repository, under what licence)



Better options for open data

- Domain repository (first choice)
- General repository (Figshare, Zenodo, Dryad)
- Institutional repository
- Data journal
- Journal supplementary material















There are ways to share sensitive data too

- Open metadata
- Data brokers and data access committees
- Safe havens
- Institutional data archive/vault





Three tiers of access

open data: for data that contain no personal or disclosive information (licensed under an open licence)

safeguarded data: for data that contain no personal information, but the data owner considers there to be a risk of disclosure resulting from linkage to other data (licensed under the <u>End User Licence</u> and users need to be registered)

controlled data: for data that may be disclosive (<u>http://blog.ukdataservice.ac.uk/access-to-sensitive-data-for-research-the-5-safes/</u>)

Tip - some repository decisions are tricky

- There my be a preferred repository that the funder expects
- Data from multidisciplinary studies may not have an obvious home
- Data types and volumes will also need to be taken into account

Misconception #2:

I don't need to decide now if I want to share. I can wait and see what I want to do at the end of my project.



Open Data doesn't just happen - data management planning helps!

- What data will be created (format, types, volume...)
- Standards and methodologies to be used (incl. metadata)
- How ethics and Intellectual Property will be addressed
- Plans for data sharing and access
- Strategy for long-term preservation

A DMP is a plan to share!



Tip - use existing tools and guidance to help write their plans





Misconception #3:

If I share my data early, I'll be scooped!



Pre-registration timestamps your work

Register Your Project



Open Science Framework

A registration on OSF creates a frozen, time-stamped version of a project that cannot be edited or deleted. The *original project* can still be edited, while the registered version cannot. You might create a registration to capture a snapshot of your project at certain points in time - such as right before data collection begins, when you submit a manuscript for peer review, or upon completion of a project.

Registrations can be made public immediately or embargoed for up to 4 years. Registrations cannot be deleted, but they can be withdrawn. <u>Withdrawing a registration</u> removes the content of the registration but leaves behind basic metadata, like registration title, contributors, and a reason for the withdrawal (not required).

http://help.osf.io/m/registrations/l/524205-register-your-project

Tips - share preprints too

- Early feedback on methods and initial findings
- Time to correct and mistakes before publishing
- Recognition for your ideas by peers

Misconception #4:

I have to keep and share everything.



Image: 'Balancing rocks' by Viewminder CC-BY-SA-ND www.flickr.com/photos/light_seeker/7780857224
Deciding which data need to be kept after the project ends

Five steps to follow

- **1 Could** this data be re-used
- 2 Must it be kept as evidence or for legal reasons
- **3** Should it be kept for its potential value
- **4 Consider costs** do benefits outweigh cost?
- **5** Evaluate criteria to decide what to keep

5 steps to decide what data to keep

www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/how-guides/five-steps-decide-what-data-keep

Tip - link data to other outputs for context (reuse)

Open Code



To support validation

and facilitate reuse

Software created to analyse and/or visualise the data **Open Workflows**



What steps were taken and in what order?

Consider who else has a say about sharing data

- Collaborators
- Research participants
- Commercial partners
- Data repository
- Publishers
- Institutions, funders

1 Agree

Exercise: barriers to data sharing

In groups of 2-3, consider any barriers to sharing data.

If there are any specific issues for your discipline please feel free to note these.

10 minutes plus feedback

How to make data open?



https://okfn.org

- 1. Choose your dataset(s)
 - What can you open? You may need to revisit this step if you encounter problems later.
- 2. Apply an open license
 - Determine what IP exists. Apply a suitable licence e.g. CC-BY
- 3. Make the data available
 - Provide the data in a suitable format. Use repositories.
- 4. Make it discoverable
 - Post on the web, register in catalogues...







Image CC-BY-NC-SA by Leo Reynolds www.flickr.com/photos/lwr/13442910354

Data Management Plans (DMP)

A DMP is a brief plan to define:

- how the data will be created
- how it will be documented
- who will be able to access it
- where it will be stored
- who will back it up
- whether (and how) it will be shared & preserved DMPs are often submitted as part of grant applications, but are useful whenever researchers are creating data.



E

OPEN RESEARCH DATA IN HORIZON 2020 Jean-François Dechamp

& Daniel Spichtinger

European Commission Directorate-General for Research & Innovation



Making data FAIR

Findable - Assign persistent IDs, provide rich metadata, register in a searchable resource,...

Accessible - Retrievable by their ID using a standard protocol, metadata remain accessible even if data aren't...

Interoperable - Use formal, broadly applicable languages, use standard vocabularies, qualified references...

Reusable - Rich, accurate metadata, clear licences, provenance, use of community standards

www.force11.org/group/fairgroup/fairprinciples









Data organisation

Meaningful file names

Below are tips on meaningful and consistent file names. Read more in 'Choosing a file name'.⁽²⁾

- Make sure to use consistent file names. When you use a date in the file name, choose a notation (for instance, YYYYMMDD of yymmdd).
- Do not use strange characters like ?\!@*%{[<> in the file name.
- Use traceable file names, such as Project Instrument locatie YYYYMMDD.ext.
- Make sure to only use each file once in the folder structure. If you store a file in more than one place, several versions of the same file can unwillingly be created.
- See also version management.

It is good practice to note the file naming and its meaning in a readme.txt.

Even if a researcher is well underway with his project consistent file naming is still an option by using a <u>bulk file</u> <u>rename utility</u>.⁽³⁾ It is important, however, to check if this bulk renamer delivers on its promises.

white data 20140708.csv

blue_data_20140708.docx

red_data_20140708.R

red_data_20140708_v02.R

File naming and version management

http://datasupport.researchdata.nl/en/start-de-cursus/iii-onderzoeksfase/organising-data





How to avoid a data management nightmare

A few tips for avoiding a data management nightmare. A video created by NYU Health Sciences Library's Karen Hanson, Kevin Read, and Alisa Surkis

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nNBiCcBlwRA



NON PECUNIAE INVESTIGATIONIS CURATORE

(Not for the research funder, but for life we make data management plans) **PROCURATIONIS**

- Make your research easier
- Stop yourself drowning in irrelevant stuff
- Save data for later
- Avoid accusations of fraud or bad science
- Write a data paper
- Share your data for re-use
- Get credit for it

How to deal with data and context?

Versioning, back-up, storage and archiving

During the project and in the long term

Ethics, consent forms, legal access
Security and technical access
Usage licences







What should be preserved and shared?

- The data needed to validate results in scientific publications (minimally!).
- The associated metadata: the dataset's creator, title, year of publication, repository, identifier etc.
 - Follow a metadata standard in your line of work, or a generic standard, e.g. Dublin Core or DataCite, and be FAIR.
 - The repository will assign a persistent ID to the dataset: important for discovering and citing the data.

What should be preserved and shared? (2)

- Documentation: code books, lab journals, informed consent forms domain-dependent, and important for understanding the data and combining them with other data sources.
- Software, hardware, tools, syntax queries, machine configurations domain-dependent, and important for using the data. (Alternative: information about the software etc.)

Basically, everything that is needed to replicate a study should be available. Plus everything that is potentially useful for others.

Data description examples

The final dataset will include self-reported demographic and behavioural data from interviews with the subjects and laboratory data from urine specimens provided.

From NIH data sharing statements

Metadata examples

Metadata will be tagged in XML using the Data Documentation Initiative (DDI) format. The codebook will contain information on study design, sampling methodology, fieldwork, variable-level detail, and all information necessary for a secondary analyst to use the data accurately and effectively.

From <u>ICPSR Framework for Creating a DMP</u>











Data sharing examples

The videos will be made available via the bristol.ac.uk website (both as streaming media and downloads) HD and SD versions will be provided to accommodate those with lower bandwidth. Videos will also be made available via Vimeo, a platform that is already well used by research students at Bristol. Appropriate metadata will also be provided to the existing Vimeo standard.

All video will also be available for download and re-editing by third parties. To facilitate this Creative Commons licenses will be assigned to each item. In order to ensure this usage is possible, the required permissions will be gathered from participants (using a suitable release form) before recording commences.

From University of Bristol Kitchen Cosmology DMP

We will make the data and associated documentation available to users under a **data-sharing agreement** that provides for: (1) a commitment to using the data only for research purposes and not to identify any individual participant; (2) a commitment to securing the data using appropriate computer technology; and (3) a commitment to destroying or returning the data after analyses are completed.

From NIH data sharing statements

Examples restrictions

Because the STDs being studied are reportable diseases, we will be collecting identifying information. Even though the final dataset will be stripped of identifiers prior to release for sharing, we believe that there remains the possibility of deductive disclosure of subjects with unusual characteristics. Thus, we will make the data and associated documentation available to users only under a data-sharing agreement.

From NIH data sharing statements

Examples restrictions (2)

1. Share data privately within 1 year.

Data will be held in Private Repository, but metadata will be public

2. Release data to public within 2 years.

Encouraged after one year to release data for public access.

3. Request, in writing, data privacy up to 4 years.

Extensions beyond 3 years will only be granted for compelling cases.

4. Consult with creators of private CZO datasets prior to use. Pis required to seek consent before using private data they can access

From Boulder Creek Critical Zone Observatory DMP

Archiving examples

The investigators will work with staff at the UKDA to determine what to archive and how long the deposited data should be retained. Future longterm use of the data will be ensured by placing a copy of the data into the repository.

From ICPSR Framework for Creating a DMP

Data will be provided in file formats considered appropriate for long-term access, as recommended by the UK Data Service. For example, SPSS Portal forat and tabdelimited text for qualitative tabular data and RTF and PDF/A for interview transcripts. Appropriate documentation necessary to understand the data will also be provided. Anonymised data will be held for a minimum of 10 years following project completion, in compliance with LSHTM's Records Retention and Disposal Schedule. Biological samples (output 3) will be deposited with the UK BioBank for future use.

From Writing a Wellcome Trust Data Management and Sharing Plan




Archiving, repositories, ehm?

Select a data repository that will preserve your data, metadata and possibly tools in the long term.

It is advisable to contact the repository of your choice when writing the first version of your DMP.

Repositories may offer guidelines for sustainable data formats and metadata standards, as well as support for dealing with sensitive data and licensing.



Re3data.org: http://www.re3data.org

How to select a repository?

Main criteria for choosing a data repository:

- Certification as a 'Trustworthy Digital Repository', with an explicit ambition to keep the data available in the long term.
- Three common certification standards for TDRs:



Data Seal of Approval: <u>http://datasealofapproval.org/en</u> nestor seal: <u>http://www.langzeitarchivierung.de/Subsites/nestor/EN/nestor-Siegel/siegel_node.html</u> ISO 16363: <u>http://www.iso16363.org</u>

How to select a repository? (2)

- Matches your particular data needs: e.g. formats accepted; mixture of Open and Restricted Access.
- Provides guidance on how to cite the data that has been deposited.
- Gives your submitted dataset a persistent and globally unique identifier: for sustainable citations - both for data and publications - and to link back to particular researchers and grants.

(All) Research. Shared.

- your one stop research shop!

All research outputs from across all fields of science are welcome! Zenodo accept any file format as well as both positive and negative results. However, we do promote peer-reviewed openly accessible research, and we do curate your upload before putting it on the front-page.



Citeable. Discoverable.

- be found!

Zenodo assigns all publicly available uploads a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) to make the upload easily and uniquely citeable. Zenodo further supports harvesting of all content via the OAI-PMH protocol.

www.zenodo.org

Community Collections

- create your own repository

Zenodo allows you to create your own collection and accept or reject all uploads to it. Creating a space for your next workshop or project have never been easier. Plus, everything is citeable and discoverable.

Safe

- more than just a drop box!

Your research output is stored safely for the future in same cloud infrastructure as research data from CERN's Large Hadron Collider using a CERN's battle-tested repository software INVENIO used by some of the world's largest repositories such as INSPIRE HEP and CERN Document Server.

Reporting

- tell your funding agency!

Zenodo is integrated into reporting lines for research funded by the European Commission via OpenAIRE. Just upload your research on Zenodo and we will take care of the reporting for you. We plan to extend with futher funding agencies in the future so stay tuned!

Flexible Licensing

not everything is under Creative Commons

Zenodo encourage you to share your research as openly as possible to maximize use and re-use of your research results. However, we also acknowledge that one size does not fit all, and therefore allow for uploading under a multitude of different licenses and access levels*. * You are responsible for respecting applicable copyright and

license conditions for the files you upload.



zenodo	Search	Q Upload	Communities		Lepedroprincipe@sdum.umin	ho.pt 👻
🖻 Delete					Save 🗸	Publish
New upload	e file or fill-in required fields (marked with a r	red star). (ii) Press "Si	ave" to save your upload for ec	liting later. (iii) When ready, press "Publ	ish" to finalize and make your upload	public.
Files 💙					Choose files O Sta	rt upload
	(minimum	Drag and	d drop files h – or – Choose files	OPPE		
Upload type					requ	uired 💙
	_					



Managing and Sharing Research Da	🗙 🔰 🕆 Data Management Plan for a 🗄 🗙	Transfer of bacteriophages between 2	< +			- ø ×
(←) → C' ŵ	(i) 🔒 https://zenodo.org/record/1	1454505#.W8Y1jfZoTcs	E 90% ···· 💟	☆ Q Search	lii	
Trans of volu Pitol, Ana Karin	fer of bacteriopha unteers and water a; Bischel, HN; Kohn, T; @ Julian, Tim	iges between th r or saliva	ne fingers	140 ⊛ views ≰d See more details	O ownloads	^
Data sets on th hands (where ti inoculum was a publication: Pitol, A. K., Bisc & Technology, 5	e percentage of virus transferred between fin he virus inoculum was not allowed to appreci illowed to dry before transfer). The data were hel, H. N., Kohn, T., & Julian, T. R. (2017). Virus 11(24), 14417-14425. https://doi.org/10.1021	agers and water or saliva. Transfer with iably dry before transfer) and for dry ha e collected, analyzed, and reported with s Transfer at the Skin–Liquid Interface /acs.est.7b04949]	saliva is for both wet inds (where the virus in the following Environmental Science	Indexed in OpenAl	RE	
Preview			~			
pt_wet	pt_dry	phage	^	Publication date:		
6.47E+01	1.56E+01	MS2		October 10, 2018		- 4
5.64E+01	1.59E+01	MS2		DOI 10.25678/000099		
7.10E+01	1.65E+01	MS2		Keyword(s): bacteriophage fingers liquid saliva i	ıkin transfer	
7.92E+01	2.03E+01	MS2		virus		
3.95E+01	1.41E+01	MS2		Cites:		
2.88E+01	2.90E+01	MS2		10.1021/acs.est.7b04949 Documented by:		
6.88E+01	2.29E+01	MS2		10.1021/acs.est.7b04949		
6.56E+01	1.67E+01	MS2		10.1021/acs.est.7b04949		
7.33E+01	2.97E+01	MS2		Supplementary material: 10.1021/acs.est.7b04949		
6.72E+01	3.18E+01	MS2	~	Communities: Eawag. Swiss Federal Institute of Agu	atic Science	
Files (8.7 kB)			*	and Technology Zenodo		
Name		Size		License (for files):		
phagetransfer	handtosaliva.csv	345 Bytes	Preview A Download			
md5:a3ca4a960	695603b7e680abf3fc87678 😡					
phagetransfer	watertohand.csv	7.0 kB	Preview Download	Share		
md5:5b5f2b7ee	28ead59c1965e35276080a2 🚱			M 🗏 У f 🗟 🕂		
readme.md		1.3 kB	Preview A Download	Cite as		

Licensing research data



EUDAT licensing tool

Answer questions to determine which licence(s) are appropriate to use

/es No
Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) This is the standard creative commons license that gives others maximum freedom to do what they want with you work.
Public Domain Dedication (CC Zero) CC Zero enables scientists, educators, artists and other creators and owners of copyright- or database-protected content to waive those interests in their works and thereby place them as completely as possible in the public domain, so that others may freely build upon, enhance and reuse the works for any purposes without restriction under copyright or database law.





Managing and Shar	ing Research Da 🗙 🕂	- a ×
\leftrightarrow \rightarrow C $_{1}$	🛈 🔒 https://www.fosteropenscience.eu/learning/managing-and-sharing-researc 🛛 🕶 又 🏠 🔍 Search	\
K FOS	TER	:=
	Ton tin - keep it short and specific	
	Top tip Reep it short and specific.	
	This very short extract from a presentation by Peter Dukes, Medical Research Council (MRC) back in 2012 provides really useful advice on writing a DMP from the funding body perspective. While it is an example from the Life Sciences	
	the advice applies to all disciplines. The quality of the video isn't great, unfortunately, but the advice provided definitely isl	
	Advice on writing Data Management Plans	
	Research Improved Data Management Plans	
	Unita	
	A Keen It simple	
	4. Keep it simple	
	+ informative: two audiences	
	Specific: e.g. name	
	standards	
	+ Concise: <¼ to 3 pages	
	Don't forget: your	
	achievements &	
	HINA GRAN	
https://www.fos	teropenscience.eu/learning/managing-and-sharing-r	esearc
	and briding in a briding in a briding i	









Managing and Sharing Research Da $$ X	+					o ×	
← → ♂ ✿	① A https://www.fosteropenscience.eu/learning/managing-and	-shar 120%	♥ ☆	Q Search	lii\ C	▣ ≡	
< FOSTER						:=	^
FAIR data	are:						
Please select one o	of the options below.						
O Findable, a	ccurate, interoperable, reusable						
O Findable, a	ccessible, interoperable, replicable						
O Findable, a	ccessible, interoperable, reusable						
O Formatted	, accessible, interoperable, reusable						
	Submit			Show feedback			Ľ
							~

Managing and Sharing Research Data \star +			- o ×
← → C û	nce.eu/learning/managing-and-shar	006 ··· 🛡 🏠 🔍 Search	
< FOSTER			:=
To got the most out of	the date you a	hava yayahayid	makait
To get the most out of	the data you's	nare, you should	такен
avallable			
Please select one of the options below.			
O from my personal web page			
• from a discipline specific reposite	ary.		
Submit		Chauteadhadr	
Submit		SHOW TEEDback	





Guidelines on DMPs

How to develop a DMP <u>www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/how-</u> guides/develop-data-plan

RDM brochure and template <u>https://dans.knaw.nl/en/about/organisation-and-</u> <u>policy/information-material?set_language=en</u>

OpenAIRE RDM Handbook <u>https://www.openaire.eu/rdm-</u> handbook

ICPSR framework for a DMP www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/content/datamanagemen t/dmp/framework.html

Other resources

Where to keep research data <u>http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/how-guides-</u> checklists/where-keep-research-data/where-keep-research-data

Five steps to decide what data to keep

http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/how-guides/five-steps-decide-what-datakeep

Re3data http://www.re3data.org/

Figshare https://figshare.com/

Genbank https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/

How to write a lay summary <u>http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/how-guides/write-lay-summary</u>

Lay summaries <u>https://www.bhf.org.uk/research/information-for-</u> researchers/how-to-apply/lay-summaries

With thanks to

Joy Davidson, University of Glasgow Bianca Kramer & Jeroen Bosman, Utrecht University Library Marjan Grootveld, DANS Sarah Jones, DCC Acknowledgements: Jonathan Rans, DCC Thanks to DANS and DCC for reuse of slide



Thank you! Questions?

Facebook: @fosteropenscience

Twitter: @fosterscience

Youtube: FOSTER Open Science



Why should data be open? Benefits of

Open Access to research data

Iryna Kuchma, EIFL Open Access Programme Manager, @irynakuchma Health NCP Net 2.0 Training Open Access & Data Management in Horizon 2020, EKT 19th October 2018

(†)





Image courtesy of http://aukeherrema.nl CC-BY

It's part of good research practice

"It was *never* acceptable to publish papers without making data available."



Original image via doi:10.1038/461145a. "Research cannot flourish if data are not preserved and made accessible. Data management should be woven into every course in science." - Nature 461, 145



Science as an open enterprise

"Much of the remarkable growth of scientific understanding in recent centuries is due to open practices; open communication and deliberation sit at the heart of scientific practice."

Royal Society report calls for 'intelligent openness' whereby data are accessible, intelligible, assessable and usable.



https://royalsociety.org/policy/projects/science-public-enterprise/Report



Cut down on academic fraud





www.nature.com/news/2011/11101/full/479015a.html

Validation of results

"It was a mistake in a spreadsheet that could have been easily overlooked: a few rows left out of an equation to average the values in a column.

The spreadsheet was used to draw the conclusion of an influential 2010 economics paper: that public debt of more than 90% of GDP slows down growth. This conclusion was later cited by the International Monetary Fund and the UK Treasury to justify programmes of austerity that

The error that could subvert George Osborne's austerity programme

The theories on which the chancellor based his cuts policies have been shown to be based on an embarrassing mistake

Charles Arthur and Phillip Inman The Guardian, Thursday 18 April 2013 21.10 BST



George Osborne says that Ken Rogoff, the man whose economic error has been uncovered, has strongly influenced his thinking. Photograph: Stefan Wermuth/PA

FOSTER and lost jobs."

www.guardian.co.uk/politics/2013/apr/18/uncovered-error-george-osborneausterity

More scientific breakthroughs

Sharing of Data Leads to Progress on Alzheimer's By GINA KOLATA

Published: August 12, 2010

In 2003, a group of scientists and executives from the <u>National</u> <u>Institutes of Health</u>, the <u>Food and Drug Administration</u>, the drug and medical-imaging industries, universities and nonprofit groups joined in a project that experts say had no precedent: a collaborative effort to find the biological markers that show the progression of <u>Alzheimer's</u> <u>disease</u> in the human brain.



(B), Enlarge This Image Wealth of recent scientific papers on the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's using methods like PET scans and tests of spinal fluid. More than 100 studies are under way to test drugs that might slow or stop the disease.

> And the collaboration is already serving as a model for similar efforts against <u>Parkinson's disease</u>. A \$40 million project to look for biomarkers for Parkinson's, sponsored by the <u>Michael J. Fox Foundation</u>, plans to enroll 600 study subjects in the United States and Europe.

"It was unbelievable. Its not science the way most of us have practiced in our careers. But we all realised that we would never get biomarkers unless all of us parked our egos and intellectual property noses outside the door and agreed that all of our data would be public immediately."

www.nytimes.com/2010/08/13/health/research/13alzheimer.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0



A citation advantage

A study that analysed the citation counts of 10,555 papers on gene expression studies that created microarray data, showed:

"studies that made data available in a public repository received 9% more citations than similar studies for which the data was not made available"



Data reuse and the open data citation advantage, Piwowar, H. & Vision, T. <u>https://peerj.com/articles/175</u>



Increased use and economic benefit

The case of NASA Landsat satellite imagery of the Earth's surface: Up to 2008 Sin

- Sold through the US Geological Survey for US\$600 per scene
- Sales of 19,000 scenes per year
- Annual revenue of \$11.4 million



Since 2009

- Freely available over the internet
- Google Earth now uses the images
- Transmission of 2,100,000 scenes per year.
- Estimated to have created value for the environmental management industry of \$935 million, with direct benefit of more than \$100 million per year to the US economy
- Has stimulated the development of applications from a large number of companies worldwide <u>http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=83394&src=ve</u>
MALIA KARANANA IA KARAN

BE PART OF THE NEW ERA OF OPEN SCIENCE



reach more people, have greater impact avoid pre duplication f of efforts re

preserve data for future researchers

simplify final Horizon 2020 reporting thanks to an up-to-date DMP

> European Commission

Research and Innovation

W 2011 Germany E. coli O104:H4 🛛 🗙 🕂

→ C' û

4

WIKIPEDIA The Free Encyclopedia 2011 Germany E. coli O104:H4 outbreak

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

This article needs to be **updated**. Please update this article to reflect recent events or newly available information. (July 2011)

A novel strain of *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 bacteria caused a serious outbreak of foodborne illness focused in northern Germany in May through June 2011. The illness was characterized by bloody diarrhea, with a high frequency of serious complications, including hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS), a condition that requires urgent treatment. The outbreak was originally thought to have been caused by an enterohemorrhagic (EHEC) strain of *E. coli*, but it was later shown to have been caused by an enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) strain that had acquired the genes to produce Shiga toxins, present in organic fenugreek sprouts.

Epidemiological fieldwork suggested fresh vegetables were the source of infection. The agriculture minister of Lower Saxony identified an organic farm^[1] in Bienenbüttel, Lower Saxony, Germany, which produces a variety of sprouted foods, as the likely source of the *E. coli* outbreak.^[2] The farm was shut down.^[2] Although laboratories in Lower Saxony did not detect the bacterium in produce, a laboratory in North Rhine-Westphalia later found the outbreak strain in a discarded package of sprouts from the suspect farm.^[3] A control investigation confirmed the farm as the source of the outbreak.^[4] On 30 June 2011, the German *Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung (BfR)* (Federal Institute for Risk Assessment), an institute of the German Federal Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Consumer Protection, announced that seeds of organic^[5] fenugreek imported from Egypt were likely the source of the outbreak.^[6]

In all, 3,950 people were affected and 53 died, 51 of whom were in Germany.^[7] 800 people suffered hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), which can lead to kidney failure.^[8] A handful of cases were reported in several other countries including Switzerland,^[9] Poland,^[9] the Netherlands,^[9] Sweden,^[9] Denmark,^[9] the UK,^{[9][10]} Canada and the USA.^[11] Essentially all affected people had been in Germany or France shortly before becoming ill.

Initially, German officials made incorrect statements on the likely origin and strain of *Escherichia coli*.^{[12][13][14][15]} The German health authorities, without results of ongoing tests, incorrectly linked the O104 serotype to cucumbers imported from Spain.^[16] Later, they recognised that Spanish

https://en.wikipedia.offeenkouses wete no tho saurae of the coli and cucumber samples did not contain the specific *E.* y E. coli of same 4:H4 produce linked with the deadly *E. coli* outbreak, which cost Spanish exporters US\$200 million per output of all fresh vegetables from the European Union from early June



Schistocytes seen in a person with hemolyticuremic syndrome Classification and external resources fedit on Wikidatal

The 2011 E. coli O104:H4 outbreak. 5 Food Food No food and/or and LEGEND restrictions medical tra or tests. tests restric done. No cases. Suspected cases Known nonnative cases Known native ----

Main page Contents Featured content Current events Random article Donate to Wikipedia Wikipedia store Interaction Help About Wikipedia Community portal Recent changes Contact page Tools What links here Related changes Upload file Special pages Permanent link Page information Wikidata item Cite this page Print/export Create a book Download as PDF Printable version In other projects

Wikimedia Commons

2011 Germany *E. coli* 0104:H4 outbreak

The strain was analyzed by scientists at BGI-Shenzen in China working together with those in Hamburg, and **3 days later a draft genome was released under an open data license**.

This kick-started analysis by bioinformatic groups on **4 continents**.

2011 Germany *E. coli* 0104:H4 outbreak (2)

24 hours after the release of genome it was assembled.

Within a week **two dozen reports have been filed on an open site**.

2011 Germany *E. coli* 0104:H4 outbreak (3)

They produced results in time to help contain the outbreak and by July 2011 scientists published papers based on the analysis.

2011 Germany E. coli 0104:H4 outbreak (4)

By opening up their early sequencing results to international collaboration, researchers in Hamburg produced results that were quickly tested by a wide range of experts, used to produce new knowledge and ultimately to control a public health emergency.









Neglected Patients

Neglected diseases continue to cause significant morbidity and mortality in the developing world. Yet, of the 850 new therapeutic products approved between 2000 and 2011, only 4% (and only 1% of all approved NCEs) were indicated for neglected diseases, even though these diseases account for 11% of the global disease burden.









Screening for antimicrobial activity

- -





Open innovation is part of a broad family of concepts that often share the word "open" and the concept of "openness" and is based on the same basic ideas: by collaborating with others, by re-using (and by being allowed to re-use) the results of others and by allowing others to use and improve the results of our efforts, we all get better. See more in <u>Thoughts on Open Innovation</u>: Essays on Open Innovation from leading thinkers in the field.

https://www.fosteropenscience.eu/learning/open-science-and-innovation

With thanks to

Sarah Jones, DCC Acknowledgements: Jonathan Rans, DCC



Thank you! Questions?

Facebook: @fosteropenscience Twitter: @fosterscience Youtube: FOSTER Open Science



Winning H2020 with Open Science: How to gain a competitive evaluation advantage?

00



What if you met the ideal applicant



www.fosteropenscience.eu



https://www.fosteropenscience.eu/learning/what-is-open-science



What are the political motivations



www.fosteropenscience.eu



View original

Flag media

へ



EU-komissio Suomessa @EUkomissio 55d Keskustele tiedekomissaari @Moedas kanssa 31.8 klo 17.30 Helsingissä! Ks. europa.eu/!JP44fh #EUdialogues pic.twitter.com/93i3QaelKY





66 ACKNOWLEDGES that Open Science has the potential to increase the quality, impact and benefits of science and to accelerate advancement of knowledge by making it more reliable, more efficient and accurate,

better understandable by society and responsive to societal challenges, and has the potential to enable growth and innovation through reuse of scientific results by all stakeholders at all levels of society, and ultimately contribute to growth and competitiveness of Europe.

Brussels 27 May 2016



Source: EC Competitiveness Council, 26-27/05/2016 http://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-9526-2016-INIT/en/pdf



Realising the European Open Science Cloud

First report and recommendations of the Commission High Level Expert Group on the European Open Science Cloud





Source: **Realising the European Open Science Cloud DG Research & Innovation 2016** <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/pdf/realising the european open science cloud 2016.pdf#view=fit&pagemode=none</u> Mostly due to current methods capture and data malpractice, approximately 50% of all research data and experiments is considered not reproducible, and the vast majority (likely over 80%) of data never makes it to a trusted and sustainable repository.

At an investment of Europe in data-generating research of €120 Billion between 2014-2020, the annual capital destruction is consequently very substantial.



Source: **Realising the European Open Science Cloud, EC DG Research & Innovation 2016** <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/pdf/realising the european open science cloud 2016.pdf#view=fit&pagemode=none</u> This will mean a new way of working through deep, equal partnerships between the science communities and the ICT communities ...

... to turn these data into knowledge as renewable, sustainable fuel for innovation in turn to meet global challenges.



Source: **Realising the European Open Science Cloud, EC DG Research & Innovation 2016** <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/pdf/realising the european open science cloud 2016.pdf#view=fit&pagemode=none</u>





What is Open Science? What is Op 🗙	What is Open Science? What is Op × +							<
(←)→ 健 @	(i) A https://www.foste	ropenscience.eu/learning/v	what-is-open-science/#/id/5abl	♥ ☆	Q Search			=
< FOSTER							:=	^
Ideas	for openin	g un durin	g each stage	ofvour	research			
14646	rer operin	o up durin	8 6461 64486	or your				
Click the plus	s sign to expand the text bo	x						
- D	uring the planniı	ng stage						
feedba	ght want to consider ck.	writing a blog post	outlining your ideas and	approacnes e	arly on to get commur	nity		
In addi For inst researc	tion to seeking involv tance, seeking collab	vement from other oration with indust a real life setting m	researchers, be sure to c rial partners at the idea ore quickly. That is great	onsider involv stage can be a	ing other stakeholder great way to see your	s too.		Ľ
Be sure	to check data repos	itories to see if the	re are existing data that	ou can reuse	or build upon during v	our		
researd researd range d	ch rather than startin ch. As you can see fro of subjects. There are	ng from scratch. <u>re3</u> om the screenshot b more than 600 rep	<u>data</u> is a great way to fin pelow, re3data provides a positories listed for the H	d relevant rep access to repo umanities and	ositories for your area sitories across a very I Social Sciences and n	a of wide nore		
than 10	000 for the Life Scien	ices - each of which	allows users to search m	ore specifical	ly by sub-domain.			
the pot	ential to identify any	gaps that targeted	data collection could he	lp to fill.	ily like this!) and gives	s you		
re3data	org			Search Brow	rse - Suggest Resources - Contact 🜔	DataCite		
Subjects ()	Filter	Search			To	Q Search ogle short help		
Humanities and Humanities (1 Ancient Cui Presistan	Social Sciences (508) 95) hums (30) y (4)	Previous 1 2 3 4 5 6	7 83 Next			Sort by ~		
Ancient A Classical Kigystolo History (50)	(etory (1) Archaeology (8) gy and Ancient Near Eastern Studies (2)	Found 2068 result(s)						
Medewal Early Mo Modern a History of	Histoy (1) dem History (2) ad Current History (5) (Science (7)	UniProt Knowledgebase Subject(s)	Basic Biological and Medical Research General Genetice Biolog	Life Sciences				
Fine Arts. N Art Hato Musicolo	lusic, Theatre and Media Studies (43) $\gamma(11)$ $\gamma(5)$	Content type(s)	Nebeckbased data Structured graphics Plain last other					
Thatin Lippuntos General Individua Typology	nd Meata Studies (3) (3) ind Applied Linguistics (2) L'Unguistics (2) Non-Élimpean Languages, Historical Linguistics (5)	UnProKE/Swiss-Prot is the manually annotat experimental results, computed features and t	ted and reviewed section of the UniProt Knowledgebase (UniProtKB scientific conclusions. Since 2002, it is maintained by the UniProt co	It is a high quality annotated and non- sortium and is accessible via the UniPi	redundant protein sequence database, which brings together rot website.	e		
Literary De European General Non-Europ	dies (12) i and American Literature (2) and Comparative Literature and Cultural Studies (2) are Languages and Guitures. Social and Cultural Anthropology. Tes and Relicious Studies (2)	Khazar University Institutional Re	pository		11 B	8 💷 = 83		
Social an Asian So	d Cutural Anthropology and Ethnology/Folklore (8) dies (2) Imerican and Oceania Studies (3)	Subject(s) Content type(s)	Humanities and Social Sciences Life Eclences Natural Science	Engineering Sciences				
Islamo S Ratigiovi Theology (1	tudes, Arabian Studies, Semitic Studies (2) Studies and Jewish Studies (8) 0)	Country	Azərbağan					
Protectar	t Theology (2)	The Khazar University Institutional Repository	(KUIR), a suite of services offered by the Library Information Cente	is an institutional repository maintaine	d to support the university's researchers, collaborators, and s	tudents.		~



Sest Practice in Open Research Be × +	- o ×
← → C û l https://www.fosteropenscience.eu/learning/best-practice-in-op 120% ···· ♥ ☆ Q Search	III\ C (D) =
< FOSTER	:=
During your research project	
Things to think about during your research project	
+ Handling, processing, transferring of data	
+ Dealing with sensitive data	
During your research project	
Data management planning should take place before your research begins but you should also be up management plan as you progress through your project. This video by Research Data Netherlands p	dating your data rovides an
overview of data management planning and some of the issues you may need review as you progress	your research.





What does this mean for Horizon2020 applicants



www.fosteropenscience.eu
Does #OpenScience matter at proposal evaluation



Based on: Winning Horizon 2020 with Open Science, http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12247



Winning Horizon2020 with **Open Science?**

Developed jointly between FP7 FOSTER & FP7 OpenAIRE+ 2015

DOI: 10 5281/zenodo 12247



WHY Open Science in Horizon 2020? Open Science (OS) offers researchers tools and workflows for transparency, reproducibility, dissemination and transfer of new knowledge. Ultimately, this can also reproducibility, dissemination and transfer of new knowledge. Ultimately, this can also have an impact on in nesearch evaluation exercises, e.g. Research Excelence Framework (REF), set to demand greater "acciefal impact" in future, rather than just research output". OS can also be an effective tool for research managers to transfer knowledge to society, and opfimize the use and re-use by unforeseen collaborators. For funders, OS offers a better return on investment (ROI) for public funding, and underprism the EU Digital Agenda by mesurably contributing to economic growth. This brief showcases why and how Open Science can opfimize your Horizon 2020 proposal evaluation.

WHO is this "BRIEF" for?

WHO is this "BREE" for? This brief is developed through EC funding and specifically aimed at Horizon 2020 applicants and proposal writers seeking to comply with the Horizon 2020 Mandate (Grant Agreement article 23.4.5) and to optimize proposal evaluation and eventual societal impact of the resulting project.

HOW to use the "BRIEF"?

The text is DCT intended to be used verbatim as copy and paste contribution to your proposal. Instead, the brief presents suggested ways of formulating an impact section that answers the overarching political agendas and initiatives, as well as tips for ensuring that research results are effectively delivered to any users and the market place, across the various Horizon 2020 Pillars. The main text is generic, but some discipline-specific examples are included as examples, rather than covering all research fields. The footnotes also point to additional resources that will acalitate implementation to optimize project visibility and impact.

¹ Weighting of research impact confirmed for 2014 Research Excellence Framework http://www.hefce.ac.uk/news/newsarchive/2011/news52310.html , 2011



- O I

HOW to write "Section 2.2 IMPACT" A generic example

The Project consortium acknowledges that the research and new knowledge generated is of societal benefit, and could potentially contribute toward solutions of societal challenges. As such, the foreground knowledge needs to be disseminated in an optimum way for impact and re-use of results, according to Responsible Research & Innovation (RRI) principles⁴⁴.

Currently only 50% of research is freely accessible to the public¹⁹, resulting in measurable loss to the knowledge-based SME sector and slowing down innovation¹⁹. <u>The Regient</u> consortium will thus optimize on the dissemination and impact of foreground along the full knowledge production chain, and integrate Open Science principles in its Dissemination & Communication Strategy.

In support of the EC Digital Agenda¹⁷ and the Economic Growth agenda of the Innovation Union (Green Action Plan¹⁴), the consortium will fully integrate Grant Agreement Article 29 into its workflow at task level. Foreground data (state diversity of data generated) will be permanently archived at generation in STATE REFOSITOR¹⁴ and publicly released and/or published²⁶ (with the scopedon of Thut Party data, national security data, medical/patient data) during the lifetime of the project²

Software code, tools and interfaces developed as part of the concept will be open source code and full access provided via <u>STATE REPOSITION™</u>. Resulting research publication: (*effer to taiseWPP most likely*) is publicity will also be made openly available via e-Intrashutter OpenAIRE⁻¹¹ OG CONNECT: request letters of support), predominantly relying on the Green Open Access strategy (self-archiving) for maximum return on investment for project and funder, and actively linked to underlying data objects, in support of the EC Open Data Filte²¹.

For longevity of knowledge transfer and best practice uptake beyond the project lifetime, The Project will cooperate with concurrent training initiatives within FP7 FOSTER[®] (DG Research) and OpenAIREs, and incorporate Open Science training in any summers schools and research training workshops, to assure that the strategy's adopted by the next generation of young researchers (refer to WP7 tasks dealing with this).

Focus will be placed on demonstrating that Open Science and RRI are not only for societal and community benefit, but also directly support the career needs for impact, visibility and multiplying collaborations for individual researchers. Alining the societal and research impact of knowledge elementation can in the longterm bridge the gap between science and society.

¹⁴ EC: Responsible Research & Innovation <u>http://re.europa.eu/intearchice/nec-nocistrifecument_libraryhof_Ob/responsible-preserved and innovation_integration_materials.
¹⁴ EC: Responsible Research & Innovation <u>http://re.europa.eu/intearchice/nec-nocistrifecument_libraryhof_Ob/responsible-preserved and integration and </u></u>

www.openaire.eu

Choose a structured archive with minimum metadata requirements to allow maximum re-use e.g. GitHub, So ²⁵ EC FP7 and Horizon2020 funded e-Infrastructure <u>https://www.openaire.eu/</u> in support of EC Digital Agenda

²⁴ EC Open Data Pilot <u>http://curopa.cu/upid/press-release_IP-I3-1257_en.htm</u>
 ²⁵ FP7 FOSTER, Facilitating Open Science in European Research (www.fosteropenscience.cu)

www.fosteropenscience.eu



Source: Winning Horizon 2020 with Open Science, http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12247



"...all parameters being equal, a proposal clearly integrating Open Science into its concept, contributing to EC's Digital Agenda and the Innovation Union's objectives, will inherently be favoured by aware project officer/evaluator teams, over same score proposals. Supporting the principles your funders believe in, adds to the competitiveness of your proposal, even when this is not explicitly formulated in the evaluation criteria. Ultimately, a good research idea must not fail due to a lack of sufficient detail regarding openness, dissemination and broader use of the new knowledge beyond academia."



"Applicants should be clear about the research outputs they anticipate producing during the life of the project along with a detailed account of how these will be stored and shared over time. Applicants should aim to provide optimum, traceable and perennial access to selected research products of the project, from *research data*, software code, publications, educational resources, *reports*, *policy briefs* etc., that can help accelerate transfer of new knowledge from academia to society, as well as improve reproducibility of public-funded research. As a minimum, applicants should retain any and all outputs that are required to verify the published research findings."

"Open science can contribute positively throughout your proposal structure, from your attitude and mind-set when developing the concept (Section 1), to impact & dissemination (Section 2), to the actual implementation of individual workpackages and tasks.

For ease of implementation, and potentially a stronger evaluation, consider open science as part of performing transparent, rigorous and reproducible research, as described in your proposal concept.





"Weakness: highly focused on <u>academic activities</u>, and <i>lacks an <u>advanced communicatio</u>n strategy"

"data accessibility is unclear!"

"Open Access to scientific knowledge is an essential principle in the project, but there is <u>not enough</u> <u>information on data management or IPR</u>."

"data storage & access not considered"

Implementation



Impact



Impact:

"Strengths: extensive dissemination of data to the scientific community (open access, databases)"

"outreach activities to a broad audience"

"research software is freely available"







Societal Challenge 2, Food: *"new knowledge delivered to SMEs by depositing research <u>outputs</u> in OpenAIRE2020 and EMODNet"*

"Data management is based on INSPIRE Directive that will favour open access for environmental data and open publications"

"web-based decision support system (with open code) to make it available to a wide, but targeted audience"

Societal Challenge 5, Climate: *"The communication plan is very effective. <u>Training for communication and</u> <u>open access procedures</u> are especially welcome."*













What is Open Science? What is Open X +		- o ×
(←) → C ^a	en: 120% ···· 🛡 🏠 🔍 Search	III\ ① ⊡ ≡
< FOSTER		:=
Practicing Open Science only ber	pefits others more than	i+
Fracticing Open Science only ber		ιι.
benefits me as a researcher.		
Select one of the options below.		
O True		
O False		
Submit	Show feedback	
		~

Interesting website regarding Open Data: <u>https://www.openaire.eu/</u> with interesting factsheets: <u>https://www.openaire.eu/openaire-</u> <u>h2020-factsheets</u>

Contacts in Belgian of the OpenAire projects are:

Inge Van Nieuwerburgh: <u>https://www.openaire.eu/72-noads/47-inge-van-nieuwerburgh</u> and

Emilie Hermans: <u>https://www.openaire.eu/72-noads/129</u>